

KING'S CLIFFE PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of an Interim Planning Meeting of the Parish Council held at 6.30pm on Thursday 26th February 2004 at 50 Bridge Street

Present: - Councillors M.Day (Chairman) B.Wyles, B. Howard, B. Wright, J. Dixon,
K. Howard and T. Isaac and N. Ford

Apologies: Councillors D.Sharpe, L.Crane and A. Howard

Declarations of Interest: None

Demolish existing two properties & erection of three dwelling at 79 West Street: EN/04/00232/FUL

Objection for reasons below:-

No objection to the actual buildings, but there is grave concern regarding the provision of parking. The norm is two parking spaces per dwelling and in the marked parking area does not give sufficient space for manoeuvring. The Council feel very strongly that, at these dangerous cross-roads, much more thought must be given to the parking provision, to ensure there is no possibility of on road parking, which is a very big problem for the village.

Proposed Public Weighbridge & Office. Office and Storage Building with integral key worker dwelling: Wood Yard, Wood Lane: EN04/00193/OUT

Objections for reasons below:-

After a long discussion the Council decided this site is totally unsuitable for a Public Weighbridge and there is no proven need in the area. The access roads from the village or from the A43 through Fineshade Woods are via a single track lane and incompatible with a commercial enterprise of this size and the resulting heavy traffic. The applicants admit to this fact. Therefore refusal of this application is unanimously recommended by the Council.

4 Detached Dwellings, Wood Yard, Wood Lane: EN/04/00139/OUT: 2nd Application

Objections for reasons below:-

This is an identical proposal to the one submitted in December 2003 (EN/02/01058/OUT/PDM) and the comments remain the same i.e.

“The Council unanimously decided the proposals breached a number of Policies set out in the District Local Plan and any Approval would establish a dangerous precedent. The building of a number of houses in a remote area without sound road access and comprehensive services would give rise to a number of difficulties. The Council recommended refusal.”

Erection of single dwellinghouse adjacent to 48 Bridge Street: EN/04/00247/FUL/PRG

Objections for reasons below:-

Refusal is recommended as proposed new house is considered too tall and too large for the site and it is felt that the garages fronting the road would adversely affect the character of this part of the village. The close proximity of the house to the livery stables at 50 Bridge Street could cause obvious problems.

There being no other business, the meeting closed at 7.15.pm.

